

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2213-1450 www.mphg.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/934,207	08/21/2001	Imre Kovesdi	213187	8411	
23460	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG V	OIT & MAYER, LTE	PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID			
	DENTIAL PLAZA, SUIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			1622		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Office Action Summary		09/93	09/934,207 KOVES Examiner Art Un		KOVESDI ET AL.				
		Exami			nit				
		Scott I	D. Priebe	1632					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comme	ınication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Fallu - Any r eams	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU motions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compend or reply septiced above it sets than thirty period for reply is specified above. the maximum period for reply is specified above. The maximum of the compensation of the	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In n mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ar aly will, by statute, cause the s after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may statutory minimum of t d will expire SIX (6) M application to become s communication, even	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	c mmunication.				
	This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 36-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 36-55 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers	ilction and/or election	irrequirement.						
	•	the Evaminer							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 09/934,207

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed 11/3/03 indicates that terminal disclaimers over U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,994,106 and 6,482,616. However, these documents are not part of the official electronic file.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The terminal disclaimer filed on 11/3/03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Pat. No. 5,851,806 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claims 36-55 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 5,994,106. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to essentially the same subject matter. The stocks of the '106 patent are compositions containing the claimed adenoviral vectors, and the specification of the '106 patent discloses that such stocks are intended for ex vivo or in vivo use requiring a pharmaceutically acceptable composition. The intended use of the instant compositions as a pharmaceutical does

Application/Control Number: 09/934,207 Art Unit: 1632

not distinguish the instant compositions from pharmaceutically acceptable compositions of the patent.

Claims 36-55 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 66-78 of copending Application No. 09/321,797, now US. Pat. No. 6,482,616. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the system and methods of the '797, when read in light of that specification, are specifically designed to produce the compositions (i.e. RCA-free stocks) of the instant claims, and cannot be practiced without making the compositions. The specification of the '797 application discloses that such stocks produced with the claimed system and by the claimed methods are intended for *ex vivo* or *in vivo* use requiring a pharmaceutically acceptable composition. The intended use of the instant compositions as a pharmaceutical does not distinguish the instant compositions from pharmaceutically acceptable compositions of the patent.

Claims 36-55 remain provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 36-38, 49, 51-54, 68-70, 72-76, 90, 91, 93-100, and 102-114 of copending Application No. 09/261,922. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the system and methods of the '922 are specifically designed to produce compositions (stocks) of the same adenoviral vectors of the instant claims, and cannot be practiced without making the compositions. The specification of the '922 application discloses that such stocks produced with the claimed system and by the claimed methods are intended for *ex vivo* or *in vivo* use requiring a pharmaceutically acceptable composition. The intended use of the instant compositions as a

Application/Control Number: 09/934,207

Art Unit: 1632

pharmaceutical does not distinguish the instant compositions from pharmaceutically acceptable compositions of the patent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner Art Unit 1632

Start D. Prile